Union Calendar No. 130

108TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. R. 1303

[Report No. 108-239]

To amend the E-Government Act of 2002 with respect to rulemaking authority of the Judicial Conference.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

March 18, 2003

Mr. Smith of Texas (for himself and Mr. Tom Davis of Virginia) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary

July 25, 2003

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed

[Strike out all after the enacting clause and insert the part printed in italic]

A BILL

To amend the E-Government Act of 2002 with respect to rulemaking authority of the Judicial Conference.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

1	SECTION 1. RULEMAKING AUTHORITY OF JUDICIAL CON-
2	FERENCE.
3	Section 205(e) of the E-Government Act of 2002
4	(Public Law 107–347) is amended by striking paragraph
5	(3) and inserting the following:
6	"(3) Privacy and Security Concerns.—The
7	Judicial Conference of the United States may pro-
8	mulgate rules to protect privacy and security con-
9	cerns relating to the electronic filing of documents,
10	and the public availability of documents filed elec-
11	tronically, pursuant to this subsection.".
12	SECTION 1. RULEMAKING AUTHORITY OF JUDICIAL CON-
13	FERENCE.
14	Section 205(c) of the E-Government Act of 2002 (Pub-
15	lic Law 107–347; 44 U.S.C. 3501 note) is amended by strik-
16	ing paragraph (3) and inserting the following:
17	"(3) Privacy and Security Concerns.—
18	"(A)(i) The Supreme Court shall prescribe
19	rules, in accordance with sections 2072 and 2075
20	of title 28, United States Code, to protect pri-
21	vacy and security concerns relating to electronic
22	filing of documents and the public availability
2223	filing of documents and the public availability under this subsection of documents filed elec-

- 1 "(ii) Such rules shall provide to the extent
 2 practicable for uniform treatment of privacy and
 3 security issues throughout the Federal courts.
 - "(iii) Such rules shall take into consideration best practices in Federal and State courts to protect private information or otherwise maintain necessary information security.
 - "(iv) Except as provided in clause (v), to the extent that such rules provide for the redaction of certain categories of information in order to protect privacy and security concerns, such rules shall provide that a party that wishes to file an otherwise proper document containing suchprotectedinformation mau file unredacted document under seal, which shall be retained by the court as part of the record, and which, at the discretion of the court and subject to any applicable rules issued in accordance with chapter 131 of title 28, United States Code, shall be either in lieu of, or in addition to, a redacted copy in the public file.
 - "(v) Such rules may require the use of appropriate redacted identifiers in lieu of protected information described in clause (iv) in any pleading, motion, or other paper filed with the

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	court (except with respect to a paper that is an
2	exhibit or other evidentiary matter, or with re-
3	spect to a reference list described in this sub-
4	clause), or in any written discovery response—
5	"(I) by authorizing the filing under
6	seal, and permitting the amendment as of
7	right under seal, of a reference list that—
8	"(aa) identifies each item of
9	unredacted protected information that
10	the attorney or, if there is no attorney,
11	the party, certifies is relevant to the
12	case; and
13	"(bb) specifies an appropriate re-
14	dacted identifier that uniquely cor-
15	responds to each item of unredacted
16	protected information listed; and
17	"(II) by providing that all references
18	in the case to the redacted identifiers in
19	such reference list shall be construed, with-
20	out more, to refer to the corresponding
21	unredacted item of protected information.
22	"(B)(i) Subject to clause (ii), the Judicial
23	Conference of the United States may issue in-
24	terim rules, and interpretive statements relating
25	to the application of such rules, which conform

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

to the requirements of this paragraph and which shall cease to have effect upon the effective date of the rules required under subparagraph (A).

"(ii) Pending issuance of the rules required under subparagraph (A), any rule or order of any court, or of the Judicial Conference, providing for the redaction of certain categories of information in order to protect privacy and security concerns arising from electronic filing or electronic conversion shall comply with, and be construed in conformity with, subparagraph (A)(iv).

"(C) Not later than 1 year after the rules prescribed under subparagraph (A) take effect, and every 2 years thereafter, the Judicial Conference shall submit to Congress a report on the adequacy of those rules to protect privacy and security.".

Union Calendar No. 130

108TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H.R. 1303

[Report No. 108-239]

A BILL

To amend the E-Government Act of 2002 with respect to rulemaking authority of the Judicial Conference.

July 25, 2003

Reported with an amendment, committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union, and ordered to be printed